

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

10/618,049 07/11/2003 Peter Mardilovich	200300109-1	5611
		3011
22879 7590 12/12/2007	EXAMI	NER
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400	ARTONII	FAFER NOMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/618,049	MARDILOVICH ET	AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	-
Katherine A. Bareford	1792	

- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -

The amendment document filed on <u>23 October 2007</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the ite

requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment docuitem(s) is required.	ument to be compliant, correction of the following
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other	DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:
2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other	
 ☐ 3. Amendments to the drawings: ☐ A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top me "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). ☐ B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing corrections showing amended figures, without markings, in com ☐ C. Other 	ion has been eliminated. Replacement drawings
4. Amendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all process. C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper standard of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status number by using one of the following status identified (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withd D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been E. Other: See Continuation Sheet.	atus identifier, and as such, the individual status of every claim must be indicated after its claim rs: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), lrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in ac	ccordance with 37 CFR 1.4):
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1	1.121, see MPEP § 714.
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	
Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amend filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compentire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.	
2. Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is long correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: (including a submission for a request for continued examination (Ramendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correspondence of the compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment CCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only is amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle act	
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amend filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment amendment.	dment is a non-final amendment or an amendment
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Telephone No. Part of Paper No. 20071205
Olor, atontana mademan Omos	1 art 0/1 aper 140. 2007 1200

Continuation of 4(e) Other: claim 18 is identified "currently amended", however, no markings showing amendments are evident in the copy of the claim received by the Office. The Examiner notes that the faxed copy received by the Office is blurry and difficult to read, and while a strikethrough of "3" in claim 14 can be read, such an amendment simply cannot be seen in claim 18..

KATHERINE BAREFORD PRIMARY EXAMINER